

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,241	01/05/2001		Kazumi Saburi	81922.0004	5876
26021	7590	09/18/2006		EXAMINER	
HOGAN &				RAMAKRISHN	AIAH, MELUR
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90067	2614		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/743,241	SABURI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	ulv 2006.						
· ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	· _							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>13,14,17,18,21,25-27 and 31-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13,14,17,18,21,25-27,32 and 33</u> is/are allowed.							
6) 🗌	Claim(s) 31 and 34 is/are rejected.							
7)								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ed					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date 2-7-03,6-27-05,9-1-0	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/743,241 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimo et al. (JP 401311744A, hereinafter Nishimo) in view of Irube (US PAT: 6,377,818) and Kunugi (JP408265450A)

Regarding claim 31, Nishimo discloses a communication method using a communication terminal having a telephone function, a data communication function, and visual telephone function, comprising: receiving incoming information from outside of the communication terminal when a call is received, displaying contents in correspondence with data type information, audibly outputting contents in correspondence with data type information, and activating an application program (this is implied as the reference teaches functioning as a simple terminal with associated application program for conducting communication as a simple terminal or functioning as composite terminal with associated application program for conducting communication as a composite terminal) in correspondence with data type information (figs. 6, 13, 15, see abstract).

Art Unit: 2614

Nishimo differs from claimed invention in that he does not teach mobile terminal functions for carrying out desired communications; including data type information which identifies telephone communication or visual telephone communication.

However, Irube discloses communication terminal apparatus which teaches mobile terminal functions for carrying out desired communications (fig. 1, see abstract; col. 4 lines 33-36); and Kunugi discloses video telephone system which teaches the following: including data type information which identifies telephone communication or visual telephone communication (fig. 2, see abstract)

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Nishimo's system to provide for mobile terminal functions for carrying out desired communications as this arrangement would provide mobility for user in connection with desired communications as is well known in the art; including data type information which identifies telephone communication or visual telephone communication as this arrangement would facilitate to automatically send picture information by automatically conducting procedure to send picture information or only voice information, thus enhancing user convenience.

3. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimo in view of Irube and Kunugi as applied to claim 31 above, and further in view of Sato (JP 406296277).

The combination differs from claim 34 in that it does not specifically teach the following: when a key is pressed, the application program in correspondence with data type information is activated.

However, Sato discloses video telephone system which teaches the following: when a key (reads on 22) is pressed, the application program in correspondence with data type information is activated (fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: when a key is pressed, the application program is activated as this arrangement would facilitate the user to effect desired communication as taught by Sato, thus providing user convenience to effect desired communication.

4. Claims 13-14, 17-18, 21, 25-27, 32-33 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2614

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614